

## **EXHIBIT P**

Cited portions of Deposition of Charles Lambdin dated  
08/10/2011, taken in *Lana R. Canen v. State of Indiana*,  
Elkhart Circuit Court, Cause No. 20C01-0908-PC-00018

STATE OF INDIANA  
IN THE ELKHART CIRCUIT COURT

LANA R. CANEN,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
-vs-	)	Cause No.
	)	20C01-0908-PC-18
STATE OF INDIANA,	)	20C01-0409-MR-118
	)	
Respondent.	)	
-----	)	

The Deposition of CHARLES LAMBDIN

Date: Wednesday, August 10, 2011

Time: 2:13 o'clock p.m.

Place: Elkhart County Prosecutor's Office  
301 South Main Street  
Elkhart, Indiana

Called as a witness by the Petitioner in  
accordance with the Indiana Rules of Civil  
Procedure, pursuant to Notice.

Before Colleen R. Finchum, Court Reporter  
Notary Public, St. Joseph County, Indiana

MIDWEST REPORTING, INC.  
1448 Lincolnway East  
South Bend, Indiana 46613  
(574) 288-4242

1 APPEARANCES:

2  
3 MS. CARA SCHAEFER WIENEKE  
4 Wieneke Law Office, LLC  
5 Post Office Box 188  
6 Plainfield, Indiana 46168

7  
8  
9 On behalf of the Petitioner;

10 MR. DAVID L. FRANCISCO  
11 Elkhart County Prosecutor's Office  
12 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney  
13 301 South Main Street  
14 Elkhart, Indiana 46516

15  
16  
17 On behalf of the Respondent.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 A Forensic science.

2 Q Not to date you, but is that a long time ago, like  
3 in the --

4 A It'd be 1969, 1970, '71.

5 Q All right. And then after college where did you  
6 work?

7 A I was working at the police department at the time  
8 I went to college.

9 Q Which police department?

10 A Elkhart City.

11 Q Okay. So you took that job right after college, so  
12 probably in '70, '71?

13 A No. No. I came to Elkhart in 1969. I had retired  
14 from the military and I came to Elkhart in '69 and  
15 joined the police department and they sent me to  
16 college for a year.

17 Q To the forensic studies program?

18 A Yeah.

19 Q So you started working for the police department.  
20 And how long did you work there?

21 A 20 years and three months.

22 Q So from 1969, you said, on for 20 years?

23 A Yes, to 1990.

24 Q What were your duties there?

25 A I started off -- I had been an electronic

1 Q Now, when you say you filed them, you mean filed  
2 them away?

3 A Uh-huh.

4 Q And then later you would withdraw them if there was  
5 a crime or something that there was a latent print  
6 found?

7 A Yeah.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Yeah.

10 Q The FBI fingerprinting class, did it deal with  
11 just, you know, general classifying or did it also  
12 deal with how to do latent print examinations and  
13 comparisons, if you remember?

14 A I remember all of it, yeah. Yeah.

15 Q Now, when you worked for Elkhart were you  
16 considered a full time latent print examiner or did  
17 you have other duties, as well?

18 A Other duties. Yeah, I was a crime scene  
19 investigator that covered rapes, robberies,  
20 homicides, and suicides.

21 Q So that was your official title when you last were  
22 there, crime scene investigator?

23 A Right. Before it was poplar.

24 Q It is poplar.

25 And how long were you a CSI?

1 Q Okay. Have you heard of something called  
2 ridgeology?

3 A Nope.

4 Q All right. Now, I want to ask you a little bit  
5 about Lana's case in specific. How did you first  
6 become involved in her case?

7 A Oh.

8 Q Our case today, yeah.

9 A Oh, yes. Okay.

10 Q Sorry about that.

11 A Yes. I was called by Bill Clark, an investigator  
12 for -- what was his name that passed away?

13 MR. FRANCISCO: Brent Zook?

14 A Brent Zook. Yeah, Brent Zook. A public defender,  
15 I believe.

16 BY MS. WIENEKE:

17 Q Has Bill always been a PD investigator or was he a  
18 police officer before?

19 A He was a police officer. We worked together on the  
20 police department, yeah. Not as a detective, but  
21 he as a patrolman, me as a detective.

22 Q Okay. What -- do you know what his -- before he  
23 went to the public defender's office, do you know  
24 did he eventually become a detective or did he just  
25 always stay a patrolman?

1 A I believe he was always a patrolman.

2 Q And then it looks like -- okay, so Bill Clark, did  
3 he contact you specifically?

4 A Yes. Called me at home.

5 Q Okay. Gotcha. And you said, also, that you knew  
6 Clyde Brown and he worked with you for some time?

7 A He was my assistant for many years, yeah.

8 Q Do you know this -- this offense happened back in  
9 2002. Do you know if Mr. Brown, was he still  
10 working for the police department at that time?

11 A 2002?

12 Q 2002 to 2005 is sort of when the investigation was  
13 going on.

14 A I retired in 1990 and he was still on the  
15 department. He may have been off the department  
16 and retired and was working for the public  
17 defender, also.

18 Q Okay. Because I think he did start working with  
19 the public defender at some point, right? I don't  
20 know if he's still there. Is he still there?

21 A I think so.

22 Q Okay. All right. So you get this phone call from  
23 Mr. Clark. What does he tell you?

24 A He wanted to know if I would come in and compare a  
25 latent print with a known print.



1 Q Did he tell you who the known print was of?

2 A Huh-uh.

3 Q And I assume you said yes?

4 A Uh-huh.

5 Q And then what did you --

6 A No, I said no, I did not know who it was.

7 Q Oh, I'm sorry. I meant -- I assume you said yes,  
8 you would come in and compare, do the comparison?

9 A Yes, I did. I meant coming in.

10 Q And then what happened? Do you remember  
11 approximately when you came in and did that?

12 A No, I don't. I don't right offhand.

13 Q If I --

14 A Because I have no paperwork on that. This was  
15 really pretty casual and informal.

16 Q Do you remember where you went to do the  
17 comparison?

18 A Elkhart County Sheriff's Department.

19 Q I think on the phone you told me that it was at the  
20 jail. Was that at the time the same place --

21 A Yes.

22 Q -- and the same building?

23 Gotcha. And I guess it still is, right, if I  
24 remember.

25 So you go down to the sheriff's department.



1 And did you meet with anybody there?

2 A Yes. I met with a uniform officer who, I guess,  
3 was also a crime scene investigator. I don't  
4 recall his name.

5 Q Would it have been Detective Borden --

6 A Yes.

7 Q -- does that sound familiar?

8 A Yes, that sounds familiar.

9 Q Okay. Or could it have been Detective Chapman? Or  
10 do you know Mr. Chapman?

11 A I don't know either one of them.

12 Q So you meet with the uniformed officer. And did he  
13 sit you somewhere or --

14 A Yeah, we went into a private office and sat down as  
15 we are now, and he brought me the fingerprint card  
16 and the latent print.

17 Q And what exactly did he bring you? He brought you  
18 one latent print and then how many fingerprint  
19 cards, just one?

20 A (Witness nodding head.)

21 Q Did he bring you a ten print --

22 Sorry, is that a yes, are you saying yes when  
23 you nod; is that --

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. And was it a ten print card or was it just

1           one -- one known fingerprint, one known rolled  
2           print?

3       A     The latent print?

4       Q     The latent print was on a lifter.

5       A     On a lifter.

6       Q     The other one, how was it --

7       A     Was on a standard fingerprint card like we used  
8           down at our station, I guess a statewide print  
9           probably.

10      Q     So there'd be some ten prints and then probably  
11           some palms?

12      A     Right.

13      Q     Gotcha. Okay. And then -- and there was only one  
14           ten print card or were there more?

15      A     One.

16      Q     One. Did it have a name on it?

17      A     I'm pretty sure it did. I didn't recall it until I  
18           seen the name, but I think it said Lana Canen.

19      Q     And were you asked to compare all ten prints to the  
20           latent or were you asked to compare a particular  
21           one to the latent?

22      A     No, looked at all of them.

23      Q     Okay. Were you told that somebody had -- that one  
24           of the fingerprint examiners had already made an  
25           identification or did you somehow know that?

1 A I think someone else had looked at it and narrowed  
2 it down, yes.

3 Q Okay. And when you looked at the prints  
4 side-by-side, what was your conclusion, what did  
5 you decide?

6 A Well, there was one -- one print, and I don't  
7 remember at this time which print it was, but I  
8 believe it was either the little finger or the one  
9 next, the ring finger, that was very similar in  
10 nature to the latent print.

11 Q Okay. Did you take any notes or anything while you  
12 were doing it or were you just looking?

13 A Just looking.

14 Q Okay. Do you know, did you find any particular  
15 points of comparison or were you just doing sort of  
16 a -- just a quick --

17 A Well, I was doing it sort of quick to narrow it  
18 down to the -- to the one finger and then I started  
19 trying to get points off of it. And it -- it was a  
20 very small print, it was a very partial print, it  
21 wasn't a full print and --

22 Q And you're referring to the latent when you --

23 A The latent -- the latent was a very small, partial  
24 print, and there appeared to me to be several of  
25 them that I would say were the same.

1 Q Okay. Did you -- if you were getting this case for  
2 the first time, is your conclusion then that that  
3 was a match?

4 MR. FRANCISCO: Objection.  
5 It's calling for speculation. It's  
6 also -- I don't believe there's  
7 adequate foundation for this  
8 particular question.

9 BY MS. WIENEKE:

10 Q Maybe I should rephrase a little bit.

11 After doing your examination did you conclude  
12 that this was a match, that the two prints matched?

13 A The prints were close enough in nature that I  
14 couldn't say no and I couldn't say yes.

15 Q So there were some similarities but you would not  
16 have -- but you weren't comfortable saying yes,  
17 this is a match; is that --

18 A No. No. But I would not have had it thrown away,  
19 either. My suggestion should've been -- I didn't  
20 do this, but I should've told them to refer it to  
21 the state police or the FBI for better analysis due  
22 to the improvement of the equipment to check  
23 fingerprints today which I didn't have when I was  
24 in that.

25 Q Let me ask you this. If I understand right, there

1  
2 CERTIFICATE  
3

4 I, Colleen R. Finchum, a Notary Public, in  
5 and for the County of St. Joseph and State of  
6 Indiana, do hereby certify:

7 That CHARLES LAMBDIN appeared before me on  
8 Tuesday, August 10, 2011, and was duly sworn to  
9 testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing  
10 but the truth to questions propounded at the  
11 taking of the foregoing deposition in a cause  
12 now pending and undetermined in said court;

13 That I further certify I then and there  
14 reported stenographically the proceedings at the  
15 said time and place; that the proceedings were  
16 then transcribed from my original shorthand  
17 notes; and that the foregoing typewritten  
18 transcript is a true and correct record thereof.

19 That I am not a relative or employee of  
20 attorney or counsel, nor a relative or employee  
21 of such attorney or counsel for any of the  
22 parties hereto, nor am I interested directly or  
23 indirectly in the outcome of this action.

24 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto

25 set my Notarial seal this 4th day  
of September 2011, A.D.

26  
27 Colleen R. Finchum  
28 Colleen R. Finchum  
29 Notary Public, State Of Indiana  
30 Residence: St. Joseph County  
31 My Commission Expires: 11-08-16